

REMARKS

I. Status Of The Claims

Claims 1-23 are pending in this Application.

Claims 1, 6, 14, 18, 21, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1, 6, 14, 18, 21, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

Claims 1-5 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Marks (U.S. Patent No. 6,463,447).

Claims 6-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marks in view of Willis (U.S. Patent No. 6,385,647).

Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Powell (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0073167).

Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mangipudi (U.S. Patent No. 6,728,748).

Claims 1, 6, 14, 18, 21, and 23 are independent.

With this response claims 1-23 are canceled without prejudice or disclaimer, and claims 24-65 are added. No new matter has been added.

II. Cancellation of Claims 1-23

Applicants note that the Office Action rejects claims 1, 6, 14, 18, 21, and 23 under 35 U.S.C. 101, rejects claims 1, 6, 14, 18, 21, and 23 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, rejects claims 1-5 and 23 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Marks, rejects claims 6-

22 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marks in view of Willis, rejects claims 1-23 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Powell, and rejects claims 1-23 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mangipudi.

With this response, Applicants cancel claims 1-23 without prejudice or disclaimer.

For at least this reason, Applicants do not believe it necessary to further discuss the rejections of claims 1-23 at this time.

III. Addition of Claims 24-65

With this response Applicants add claims 24-65. No new matter has been added.

Applicants respectfully submit that the cited references, taken individually or in combination, fail, for example, to disclose, teach, or suggest:

“... monitoring an interaction network;
selecting data, wherein selection takes into account the
monitoring; and
sending the selected data over a multicast network”

as set forth in each of claims 24, 40, and 57 (emphasis added).

As another example, the cited references, taken individually or in combination, fail to disclose, teach, or suggest:

“... providing, via an interaction network, one or more
requests; and
receiving, over a multicast network, selected data through a
local proxy,
wherein selection of the data takes into account monitoring
of the interaction network”

as set forth in each of claims 32, 49, and 58 (emphasis added).

In view of at least the foregoing, Applicants respectfully submit that claims 24, 32, 40, 49, 57, and 58, as well as those claims that depend therefrom, are in condition for allowance.

IV. Conclusion

Applicants respectfully submit that this Application is in condition for allowance for which action is earnestly solicited.

If a telephone conference would facilitate prosecution of this Application in any way, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the number provided.

(Continued on next page)

V. Authorization

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required for this response, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 13-4500, Order No. 4208-4041. **A DUPLICATE OF THIS DOCUMENT IS ATTACHED.**

Furthermore, in the event that a further extension of time is required, the Commissioner is requested to grant a petition for that extension of time which is required to make this response timely and is hereby authorized to charge any fee for such an extension of time or credit any overpayment for an extension of time to the above-noted Deposit Account and Order No.

Respectfully submitted,

MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P.

Dated: June 30, 2006

By:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Angus R. Gill', is written over a horizontal line.

Angus R. Gill
Registration No. 51,133

Mailing Address:

MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P.
3 World Financial Center
New York, New York 10281-2101
(212) 415-8700
(212) 415-8701 (Fax)